

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MARCY C. CURTIS, Individually and On )  
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, )  
Plaintiff, ) Case No. 1:18-cv-01911-MN  
v. )  
CONVERGEONE HOLDINGS, INC., JOHN )  
A. MCKENNA JR., KEITH W.F. )  
BRADLEY, BEHDAD EGHBALI, JOSE E. )  
FELICIANO, CHRISTOPHER JURASEK, )  
PRASHANT MEHROTRA, JAMES PADE, )  
TIMOTHY J. PAWLENTY, DAVID BORIS, )  
RICHARD KATZMAN, PVKG )  
INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS INC., and )  
PVKG MERGER SUB, INC., )  
Defendants. )

RGA

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

WHEREAS, on December 3, 2018, plaintiff Marcy Curtis (“Plaintiff”) filed a Complaint for Violation of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Complaint”) in the above-captioned action (the “Action”) against ConvergeOne Holdings, Inc. (“ConvergeOne” or the “Company”), John A. McKenna Jr., Keith W.F. Bradley, Behdad Eghbali, Jose E. Feliciano, Christopher Jurasek, Prashant Mehrotra, James Pade, Timothy J. Pawlenty, David Boris, Richard Katzman, PVKG Intermediate Holdings Inc., and PVKG Merger Sub, Inc. (together with PVKG Intermediate Holdings Inc., “PVKG”) (collectively, “Defendants”);

WHEREAS, the Complaint alleged violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with the solicitation/recommendation statement filed by Defendants with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on November 21, 2018 (the “Solicitation Statement”) in connection with the proposed acquisition of ConvergeOne by PVKG (the

“Transaction”);

WHEREAS, on December 12, 2018, certain of the Defendants filed a supplement to the Solicitation Statement with the SEC that included additional information relating to the Transaction that addressed and mooted Plaintiff’s claims regarding the sufficiency of the disclosures in the Solicitation Statement (the “Mooted Claims”);

WHEREAS, Plaintiff’s counsel intend to assert a claim for mootness fees and expenses in connection with the Mooted Claims (the “Fee Application”), and seek Court intervention only if the parties cannot resolve Plaintiff’s Fee Application;

WHEREAS, Defendants in the Action reserve all rights, arguments, and defenses, including the right to oppose any potential Fee Application;

WHEREAS, no class has been certified in the Action;

WHEREAS, Defendants have denied and continue to deny any wrongdoing and contend that no claim asserted in the Action was ever meritorious;

NOW, THEREFORE, upon consent of the parties and subject to the approval of the Court:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this 14 day of March, 2019 that:

1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), all claims asserted in the Action are dismissed, with prejudice as to Plaintiff only. All claims on behalf of the putative class are dismissed without prejudice.

2. Notice of this dismissal is not required because the dismissal is with prejudice as to Plaintiff only, and not on behalf of a putative class; no class has been certified in the Action; and the putative class will not be bound by any agreement among the parties.

3. The Court retains jurisdiction of the Action solely for the purpose of determining Plaintiff's anticipated Fee Application, if filed.

4. This Order is entered without prejudice to any right, position, claim, or defense any party may assert with respect to the Fee Application, which includes Defendants' right to oppose the Fee Application.

5. To the extent that the parties are unable to reach an agreement concerning the Fee Application, they may contact the Court regarding a schedule and hearing to present such application to the Court.

6. Upon completion of briefing, the parties shall promptly contact the Court to schedule argument regarding Plaintiff's Fee Application at a time convenient to the Court.

7. If the parties reach an agreement concerning the Fee Application, they shall notify the Court. Upon such notification, the Court will close the Action.

Dated: March 6, 2019

**RIGRODSKY & LONG, P.A.**

By: /s/ Gina M. Serra  
Seth D. Rigrodsky (#3147)  
Gina M. Serra (#5387)  
300 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1220  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Telephone: (302) 295-5310  
Facsimile: (302) 654-7530  
Email: sdr@rl-legal.com  
Email: gms@rl-legal.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**OF COUNSEL:**

**RM LAW, P.C.**

Richard A. Maniskas  
1055 Westlakes Drive, Suite 300  
Berwyn, PA 19312  
Telephone: (484) 324-6800  
Facsimile: (484) 631-1305  
Email: rm@maniskas.com

Dated: March 6, 2019

**MORRIS NICHOLS ARSHT &  
TUNNELL LLP**

By: /s/ Ryan D. Stottmann  
William M. Lafferty (#2755)  
Ryan D. Stottmann (#5237)  
Jarrett W. Horowitz (#6421)  
1201 N. Market St.  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Telephone: (302) 351-9341  
Facsimile: (302) 425-4679  
Email: wlafferty@mnat.com  
Email: rstottmann@mnat.com  
Email: jhorowitz@mnat.com

*Attorneys for Defendants ConvergeOne Holdings, Inc., John A. McKenna Jr., Keith W.F. Bradley, Behdad Eghbali, Jose E. Feliciano, Christopher Jurasek, Prashant Mehrotra, James Pade, Timothy J. Pawlenty, David Boris, Richard Katzman, PVKG Intermediate Holdings Inc., and PVKG Merger Sub, Inc.*

IT IS SO ORDERED this 14 day of March, 2019.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE